

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/686,781	10/17/2003	R. Terry Wornath	48198-00002	7720
23598	7590 12/12/2006		EXAMINER	
	DRICKSON NEWH	FLANIGAN, ALLEN J		
SUITE 1030	MOIN MY BINOD		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
MILWAUKE	E, WI 53202	•	3744	

DATE MAILED: 12/12/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

·		Application No.	Applicant(s)	<del></del>
Office Action Summary		10/686,781	WORNATH, R. TEF	RRY
		Examiner	Art Unit	<del></del>
		Allen J. Flanigan	3744	
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication	n appears on the cover sheet w	ith the correspondence add	ress
A SH WHIC - External - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED, STATUTORY PERIOD FOR R CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILIN nsions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 C SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communicatio period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory p re to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by reply received by the Office later than three months after the ed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	IG DATE OF THIS COMMUNION FR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a roon.  Deriod will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON statute, cause the application to become AE	CATION. reply be timely filed NTHS from the mailing date of this com BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status				
2a)	Responsive to communication(s) filed on This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) Since this application is in condition for all closed in accordance with the practice unconditions.	This action is non-final. owance except for formal matt	•	nerits is
Dispositi	on of Claims			
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)□	Claim(s) 1-50 and 52-69 is/are pending in 4a) Of the above claim(s) 15-23,39-50,52 claim(s) is/are allowed.  Claim(s) 1-14,24-38,53 and 55-69 is/are reclaim(s) is/are objected to.  Claim(s) are subject to restriction as	<u>and 54</u> is/are withdrawn from c	consideration.	
Applicati	on Papers			
10)	The specification is objected to by the Exa The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) Applicant may not request that any objection to Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the co The oath or declaration is objected to by the	accepted or b) objected to the drawing(s) be held in abeyar orrection is required if the drawing	nce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). (s) is objected to. See 37 CFR	• •
Priority u	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119			
a)[	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for for All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority docur 2. Certified copies of the priority docur 3. Copies of the certified copies of the application from the International Business the attached detailed Office action for a	ments have been received. ments have been received in A priority documents have been ureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	pplication No received in this National S	tage
Attachmen	t(s)			
2) 🔲 Notic 3) 🔲 Inforr	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948 nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/S r No(s)/Mail Date	Paper No(s	Summary (PTO-413) s)/Mail Date nformal Patent Application (PTO-1 	152)

Claims 15-23, 39-50, 52, and 54 are withdrawn from further consideration pursuant to 37 CFR 1.142(b), as being drawn to a nonelected invention, there being no allowable generic or linking claim. Applicant timely traversed the restriction (election) requirement in the reply filed on 6/13/2005.

The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action.

Claims 1-6, 8-10, 13, 14, 24-29, 31-33, 36-38, 53, and 55 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Fayolle.

Fayolle shows stacked parallel plates within an enclosure for exchanging heat between two fluid streams. As shown, they may be corrugated (claim 8). They are made from metals such as "steel, stainless steel, titanium . . .". Recitations in amended claim 1 regarding the exchanger being "connectable" to a combustion system are not considered positive structural limitations, but statements of intended use.

Regarding claims 53 and 55, the recitations in these claims essentially fail to further limit the claims in any way. BTU is a measure of heat; compare with joule or calorie. Specifying that an exchanger is "adapted to exchange" an amount of heat is basically meaningless. Heat exchangers are typically rated based on heat exchange rates, i.e. how much heat per unit time (Watts, BTU/hr, etc.) can be exchanged between fluids. Even the smallest capacity exchanger is capable of exchanging any amount of heat over a long enough period of time.

Claims 1-14, 24-38, 53, and 55-61 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Murray.

See Figs. 5 and 6, lines 12-21 of page 2 of Murray. Note that in this embodiment Murray, like Fayolle, employs corrugated plates 56 readable on the claimed "at least three plates" of claim 1.

Applicant's arguments filed 10/5/2006 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

Applicant's request for rejoinder of inventions I and II is not persuasive. A showing of separate classification is sufficient reason (prima facie showing of burden) for insisting upon restriction. At such time as claims to the subcombination (the heat exchanger) are found to be allowable, rejoinder of the nonelected claims will be considered. MPEP 821.04, 821.04(a).

The restriction requirement was made final in the previous action.

Contrary to applicant's remarks, claim 1 as amended is not "connected to" a combustion system. The recitation "connected to atmosphere for a first stream" is essentially reciting connection to a source or sink for fluids exchanging heat, and is implicit in any heat exchanger structure.

The arguments regarding claim 24 are not persuasive; the claim is not positively reciting a method of combustion or even heat exchange, but an assembly method comprising the steps of "providing", "disposing", and "adapting" plates. None of these is deemed to go beyond the method of assembling a structure to the process of using it to exchange heat or burn a

Art Unit: 3744

combustible mixture (there is no recitation of a "flowing" step, for example, but merely of "disposing" a plate to "accommodate" such a flow in use; hence the recitations (such as in claims 34-36) concerning what fluid the streams comprise carry no weight). Even if such recitations were given weight, Murray clearly discloses the use of heat exchangers to exchange heat between combustion gases and fluids to be heated. Similarly, the recitation in claim 56 of "at least two streams of fluid, wherein one stream . . . is mixable with fuel" is met by Murray, who explicitly discloses "means whereby a heated fluid flowing through one conduit may give up its heat to a body of air or other fluid flowing through a juxtaposed conduit". Air clearly reads on the claimed "stream mixable with fuel".

Applicant's arguments in regard to the rejections based on Murray are not persuasive or are not commensurate in scope with the claims. Murray clearly discloses a heat exchanger with independent flow paths; whether they ultimately plan on using the air for combustion or other purposes is of no moment, because the product being claimed is defined by the recited structure, not the intended use. See MPEP 2114. Nothing in the claims recites or requires "fluid flows that are fluidly isolated from the inlet to the outlet of the systems defined in the claims", since the only "system" positively recited in the claims is the heat exchanger per se, and the fluids are clearly isolated between inlets and outlets in the exchangers of both Fayolle and Murray.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Allen J. Flanigan whose telephone number is (571) 272-4910. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 9:00-5:30.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Cheryl Tyler can be reached on (571) 272-4834. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Allen J. Flanigan

Primary Examiner

Art Unit 3744